United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/710,689	07/28/2004	Joel Fried	236105102004	4688	
26496	7590 04/17/2007 i & LIEBERMAN, LLC	EXAMINER			
	NSIN AVE, N.W.		SILBERMAN	SILBERMANN, JOANNE	
SUITE C-2 WASHINGTO	ON DC 20007		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASIIITOTC	514, DC 20007		3611		
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
3 M(ONTHS	04/17/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,		10/710,689	FRIED ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joanne Silbermann	3611			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	·					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 January 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Dispositi	on of Claims		,			
 4) Claim(s) 11-15,19,20,25-27 and 32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-15,19,20,25-27,32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Pate			

Application/Control Number: 10/710,689 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 11-14, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gintoft, US #3,254,434.
- 3. Gintoft discloses a portable auxiliary warning device including a flexible housing (Figure 2) which expands horizontally and has a greater width than height when unfurled. The housing includes visual display means (Figure 1) which is read horizontally (left to right). The housing is mounted to the top of a car by mounting means 105 (column 5 lines 41-60).
- 4. The housing includes three, separate joined sections folded upon one another (Figures 2 and 3). The device is lightweight (column 5 line 59) and is supported perpendicular to the roof (Figures 6 and 8).
- 5. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anders et al. US #4,633,215.
- 6. Anders et al. disclose storing a sign in a glove box (column 2 line 17), removing the device when needed, expanding the housing and placing the device on the roof of an automobile (column 5 lines 41-53).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/710,689 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gintoft.
- 9. Gintoft does not specifically teach the dimensions of the display but does teach the display as being compact (column 1 line 32). It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the dimensions 12 by 18 inches since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). Also, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to make the device any reasonable size so that it may be stored "compactly" and still be large enough to be seen when expanded.
- 10. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gintoft in view of Payan et al. US Publication 2004/0128888 A1.
- 11. Gintoft does not teach using light emitting diodes to form the display, however this is well known in the art. Payan et al. teach a display including a series of light emitting diodes 19 (Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the LEDs of Payan et al. on a device as shown by Gintoft so as to provide illumination for the device so that it may be more clearly seen.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,689 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 25 January 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

13. A new reference has been applied in response to Applicant's amendments to the claims requiring the device to expand horizontally and be read in a horizontal fashion.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. EPO 415,860 A1 to Jamet is cited as of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 571-272-6653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,689

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joanne Silbermanr Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611 Page 5

js 15 April 2007